
Re: Rejoinder Dated 16.04.2026 - Notice of Formal Investigative Procedure

From IJRAH Journal <mail2ijrah@gmail.com>

Date Mon 4/20/2026 12:03 PM

To mini nair <mininair.adv@gmail.com>

Cc editor@ijrah.com <editor@ijrah.com>; Bernet, Bill <william.bernet@vumc.org>; Phillip Hendrix <phillip@covenantcounselors.com>; khead4@alumni.uwo.ca <khead4@alumni.uwo.ca>; Advocateraghvendrapratapsingh9@gmail.com <Advocateraghvendrapratapsingh9@gmail.com>

Madam,

We are in receipt of your Rejoinder and have noted its contents. Be advised that M/s Stallion Publication operates under established international protocols for academic integrity and will not be coerced into summary censorship by arbitrary 48-hour deadlines.

Our client's position is as follows:

1. Rejection of the "Fraud" Narrative

Your clients' claim of "fraudulent data" is legally and scientifically unsupported. Under the COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) framework, "data fabrication" refers to the invention of empirical results, not an author's selection of secondary sources in a Critical Review. The author has verified that every claim made is supported by peer-reviewed citations, including primary source documents from the WHO, APA, and UN.

2. Failure of Regulatory Threats

We note your threat to petition the "UGC-CARE Cell" for de-listing. We find this threat to be factually hollow, as the UGC-CARE listing system was discontinued in early 2025. Such a request to a non-existent regulatory process further highlights the vexatious nature of this demand.

3. Pattern of Harassment

It has come to our attention that your clients have a documented history of attempting to suppress critical scholarship through legal pressure on publishers rather than scholarly response—notably in their failed campaigns against Joan Meier (2021) and the publisher Routledge (2023). Reputable publishers have consistently declined these demands as they violate the core principles of academic freedom.

4. The Final Scholarly Offer

The Journal remains open to correcting the record if objective errors are identified. We hereby call upon your clients to:

Identify the specific sentences they claim are factually unsupported.

Submit a formal Letter to the Editor for peer review.

5. Interim Status

The Publisher has placed the article under a Formal Internal Review which will conclude within 15

business days. Any attempt to initiate litigation during this active inquiry will be met with a claim for damages for malicious interference with editorial independence.

Yours faithfully,

Advocate Raghvendra Pratap Singh,

Legal Advisor, (Contact Info: +91- 83185-49332)

For M/s Stallion Publication

Regards

Editorial & Technical Team

Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities (IJRAH)

Phone: +91-8303613016 || +91-7651824744 || +91-8874396939

Mailto: editor@ijrah.com || mail2ijrah@gmail.com

Website: www.ijrah.com

On Thu, Apr 16, 2026 at 10:27 AM mini nair <mininair.adv@gmail.com> wrote:

To,

The Legal Advisor,

For M/s Stallion Publication

Ref: 1. My Legal Notice dated 03.04.2026. 2. Your Reply/Communication dated 07.04.2026.

Madam/Sir,

Under instructions from and on behalf of my clients, **Mr. Hendrix** and **Dr. William Bernet** (Parental Alienation Study Group - PASG), I address you as under:

1. I am in receipt of your reply dated 07.04.2026, the contents of which were placed before my clients for their consideration.
2. My clients state that the contentions raised in your reply are legally untenable, factually incorrect, and appear to be an attempt to evade the responsibility of maintaining academic and scientific integrity.
3. Attached herewith, please find the **Rejoinder** to your said reply. This document systematically addresses the fallacies in your defense regarding "academic freedom" and "narrative review," and reiterates the objective presence of fraudulent data within the published article.
4. You are hereby called upon to comply with the demands set out in the original Legal Notice and this Rejoinder within **2** days of receipt of this communication, failing which my clients have given me clear instructions to initiate appropriate legal proceedings in the competent courts and before the regulatory authorities, including the **UGC Standing Committee on Academic Integrity**, at your sole cost and risk.

Yours faithfully,

MINI M. NAIR

Advocate

[**WARNING** : This email came from an external source. Please treat this message with additional caution.]