

4. That it is pertinent to mention at this stage that I have only pointed out the major deficiencies of the Head article. My clients can provide a more detailed commentary, if necessary. However, the above referred flaws explained are more than enough reason for you to promptly retract this article from publication. Further this article satisfies the criteria for retraction promulgated by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) due to "extensive misinformation," "scientific fraud," and "distortions of fact" that compromise the journal's integrity.
5. That my clients and their colleagues have made every reasonable effort to resolve this through academic channels only to be met with total silence:
 - (i) Mr. Head was contacted directly by my clients' representative Mr. Rabbi Yaakov Aichenbaum on 18.01.2026 and 19.01.2026 and pointed out that his article is unacceptable as it is lacking credible academic support. However, Mr. Head simply responded that he conducted a "narrative review", which apparently allowed him to select and cite whichever resources he preferred, as long as they supported his predetermined, biased opinion on this topic. That even a "narrative review" must adhere to academic standards and does not grant a license for extreme selection bias or the deliberate exclusion of over 1,000 peer-reviewed articles that contradict his biased opinion.
 - (ii) My clients sent you a detailed critique via email on two separate occasions; you failed to respond.
 - (iii) Dr. Bernet telephoned Stallion Publication six times during regular business hours, no one answered.
 - (iv) Since none of these initial efforts were successful, Dr. Bernet contacted the administration of Capella University, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, where Mr. Head is pursuing a Ph.D. in social work. Dr. Bernet requested that University personnel to encourage Mr. Head to retract this article in

your journal. The Capella University has already initiated an investigation into his scholarly activities through their Office of Research Compliance.

6. That your total silence and failure to address these grave scientific errors demonstrate bad faith and professional negligence. That safety of children and the integrity of scientific scholarship must be prioritized over the continued dissemination of this discredited work.
7. That please take note that this demand for immediate retraction is not merely the position of my clients but is supported by a global consensus of esteemed international scholars, researchers, and clinicians who have collectively reviewed the article and found it to be scientifically unacceptable. This distinguished roster of experts includes Mr. Rabbi Yaakov Aichenbaum, Dr. Alan Blotcky, Ph.D., Dr. Michael Bone, Ph.D., Dr. Jennifer Harman, Ph.D., Dr. Brian Hart, Ph.D., Dr. Ben Hine, Ph.D., Dr. Stan Korosi, Ph.D., Dr. Jesper Lohse, Ph.D., and Dr. Joshua Marsden, Ph.D.
8. That the article is already being weaponized on social media to spread false claims that Parental Alienation is unscientific, which directly damages thousands of children and families by influencing custody determinations in ways that compromise child safety by placing them in potentially abusive environments. It further causes ongoing damage to the professional reputations of my clients and the mission of PASG.
9. The continued hosting of this scientifically fraudulent and defamatory content, despite formal notice given by my clients, constitutes a violation of the Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021. Further the publication of these false statements, with the knowledge that they are harmful to my clients' reputations, attracts the provisions of Section 356 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Civil Libel, for which you are jointly and severally liable.
10. That the Editor-in-Chief is an Associate Professor at an Indian Institute (Haldia Institute of Technology), is also governed by University Grants Commission (UGC) regulations regarding academic integrity. The publication of "predatory" or "unverified" research violates the UGC (Promotion of Academic

Advocate

Integrity and Prevention of Plagiarism in Higher Educational Institutions) Regulations. Your failure to maintain academic standards constitutes a categorical violation of the mandates set by the University Grants Commission (UGC) Consortium for Academic and Research Ethics (UGC-CARE). Any continued failure to retract this article will be formally reported to the UGC-CARE Cell, which may lead to the permanent removal of your journal from the UGC-CARE Reference List of Quality Journals.

11. That the publisher, M/s Stallion Publication, as the overarching entity responsible for the *Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities*, owes a fundamental duty of care to the global academic community, licensed professionals, and the general public to ensure that the content it disseminates is scientifically accurate and ethically sound. By holding itself out as a "Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed" journal, the publisher represents a guarantee of rigorous editorial oversight and factual integrity. However, the publication of the article in question characterized by extreme selection bias, documented misinformation, and the omission of over 1,000 peer-reviewed sources constitutes a gross failure of this duty. Such professional negligence in the vetting process not only compromises the journal's credibility but also facilitates the "weaponization" of fraudulent data in sensitive legal and clinical environments. Under the principles of strict liability for published content and the Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, the publisher is legally and ethically bound to exercise immediate corrective oversight by retracting the article to prevent further systemic harm and reputational damage to my clients.
12. I, therefore, through this Legal Notice, call upon you to:
 - (1) Immediately retract the aforementioned article from the *Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities*.
 - (2) Permanently remove the article and all digital links to it from your website and associated repositories.
 - (3) Publish a formal Corrigendum/Retraction Note on the homepage of the Journal and the Publication's website,

Advocate

acknowledging the factual errors and withdrawing the article in toto.

13. Please note that if you fail to comply with these demands and do not provide a written confirmation of the retraction within seven (7) days of receipt of this notice, my clients have given me firm instructions to initiate legal proceedings in the Courts of India to seek a mandatory injunction and monetary damages for the harm caused. Take further notice that you shall be held liable for all legal costs and consequences arising therefrom.

Yours faithfully,



Mini M. Nair

Advocate

Enrolment No. G/1909/2000