

Hello Diana,

Please find data files for the Berg et al. 2016 and Warren et al. 2020 articles. The code for the descriptive statistics is provided as well. While I was the primary analyst on all the papers mentioned with FACHS, I was not the analyst on the Warren et al. 2020 article. My role was primarily focused on the conceptualization aspects of the manuscript. Nevertheless, Dr. Warren has provided the data for consideration. A review of the files will show that there were rounding, transcription, and copy-editing errors on the standard deviations for the binary variables. While it is unclear why an anonymous person felt compelled to review only the binary variables in each of my articles, it is certainly clear that there was no research misconduct. The discrepancies shown were small and inconsequential errors that do not affect the studies' findings and which occur in many published research articles. In fact, many social science research articles tend not to report the standard deviations of the binary variables for varied reasons (e.g., limited interpretation; utility; high error in presentation). Academic harassment of this sort is unacceptable. For an unknown reason, I have been a repeated target of misconduct allegations which have caused me indescribable harm over an extended period of time. These baseless allegations serve as a means of harassment, as well as waste time and resources.

Best,  
Eric