From: "Statler, Thomas S. (HQ-DG000)[NASA IPA]" < <a href="mainto:thomas.s.statler@nasa.gov">thomas.s.statler@nasa.gov</a>

Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 7:26 AM

To: JPL <a href="mainto:thomas.gov">amy.mainzer@jpl.nasa.gov</a>

Cc: "Billings, Linda (HQ-DG000)[NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF AEROSPACE]" < (b) (5) >,

NASAHQ-PartialResponse-001167

Page 60 of 109

"Johnson, Lindley (HQ-DG000)" < <a href="mailto:lindley.johnson@nasa.gov">lindley.johnson@nasa.gov</a>>

**Subject:** Re: NY Times

I read Nathan's previous draft and gave him some pointed suggestions without trying to referee the paper. He is doing some things correctly and other things incorrectly. In the end I see his basic results as largely confirming earlier results in broad brush, but with some modifications. I think that his calculations on the error distributions deserve to be examined carefully. Unfortunately he feels it's his duty to explain to the community in great detail every single thing that he thinks the NEOWISE team did wrong. I did my best to coach him not to go that route. But I can only do so much. He sent me a new draft over the weekend that I haven't looked at yet; he says that I will think it is still too harsh.

Tom